MOLECULAR CHARACTERIZATION AND MATING STUDY OF Fusarium proliferatum FROM VARIOUS HOSTS IN MALAYSIA

NURUL FARIZAH AZUDDIN, MASRATUL HAWA MOHD, NIK MOHD IZHAM MOHAMED NOR and LATIFFAH ZAKARIA*

School of Biological Sciences, Universiti Sains Malaysia, 11800 USM, Penang *E-mail: Lfah@usm.my

Accepted 3 May 2021, Published online 20 May 2021

ABSTRACT

Fusarium proliferatum is a well-known plant pathogenic fungus-infected many crops. The present study was carried out to molecularly identified and characterized morphologically identified *F. proliferatum* from various hosts and substrates. The species identity of the isolates was verified as *F. proliferatum* based on TEF-1 α sequences and phylogenetic analysis indicated high intraspecific variations. RFLP-IGS analysis also indicated high intraspecific variations of which the isolates were clustered into three RFLP Groups (I, II, and III) comprising 67 IGS haplotypes. Seventy isolates were crossed-fertile and proven to be members of mating population D (MP-D) of *Gibberella fujikuroi* while four isolates were infertile. A high level of intraspecific variations is vital for *F. proliferatum* adaptation and survival in the host and environment. Correct species identification of *F. proliferatum* is important as the fungus is a well-known plant pathogen and mycotoxin producer. Correct species identity is also essential to strategize suitable disease control methods as well as to predict their host range and mycotoxin production.

Key words: *Fusarium proliferatum*, mating study, RFLP-IGS, TEF-1α

INTRODUCTION

Fusarium proliferatum is members of a species complex known as Fusarium fujikuroi species complex (FFSC) which is widespread and can be isolated from various host plants as well as from agricultural and non-agricultural soils. Fusarium proliferatum is one of the well-known pathogens causing rot, blight, dieback and wilt on various types of host plants including asparagus, corn, date palm, ornamental palm, rice, and wheat (Proctor et al., 2010). The species is also mycotoxigenic species contaminating agriculture products particularly cereal grains. In addition, F. proliferatum can also infected human causing onchomycosis (Hattori et al., 2005; Hong et al., 2019), ungual hyalohyphomycosis (Noguche et al., 2017), keratitis (Sun et al., 2018) and urinary tract infection (Su et al., 2016).

Identification based only on morphological characteristics is not sufficient to differentiate many *Fusarium* spp. especially isolates within a species complex as the microscopic characteristics are similar. Morphological identification of *Fusarium* isolates is mainly used to sort the groups before other methods of identification and characterization are applied (Summerell *et al.*, 2003; Leslie & Summerell, 2006). Hence, molecular identification and phylogenetic analysis using Translation Elongation Factor1 α (TEF-1 α) gene were chosen to confidently identify *F. proliferatum* isolates from various hosts and substrates.

The TEF-1 α gene is the recommended marker for the identification of *Fusarium* spp. This proteincoding gene occurs as a single-copy, and nonorthologous copies were not found which provide highly sufficient phylogenetic information particularly among closely related *Fusarium* species (Geiser *et al.*, 2004). Furthermore, many universal primers have been designed from this gene. TEF-1 α sequences can also be used to determine the genetic variations as well as provide information on phylogenetic relationships among isolates of *F. proliferatum* from various hosts and substrates.

Polymerase chain reaction-restriction fragment length polymorphism (PCR-RFLP) combines PCR and RFLP techniques. For observation of genetic variability among *Fusarium* isolates, Intergenic Spacer Region (IGS) is commonly applied in PCR-

^{*} To whom correspondence should be addressed.

RFLP analysis. The IGS region is used to differentiate isolates within the same species or distinguish isolates at intraspecies levels, as it evolved rapidly. Moreover, in a large portion of the IGS region, it lacks selective constraints (Appel & Gordon, 1996; Edel *et al.*, 1997).

The mating study was further applied to verify the species identity of *F. proliferatum*. Isolates of *F. proliferatum* were crossed with tester strains of mating population D (MP-D) which is the mating population of *F. proliferatum*. Successful crosses produce perithecia with eight ascospores and the crosses are considered as fertile progeny (Nirenberg & O'Donnell, 1998). For crosses to occur, it requires two mating-type alleles (*MAT-1* & *MAT-2* alleles) of which one isolate must carry *MAT-1* allele and the other carry *MAT-2* allele (Leslie & Summerell, 2006). Characterization of *F. proliferatum* by the mating study would place the isolates in biological species.

Morphologically identified *Fusarium* species in a species complex are usually misidentified as the morphological characteristics observed are very similar. Thus, the present study was carried out to verify the species identity of morphologically identified *F. proliferatum* in stock culture collection using TEF-1 α gene sequences, RFLP-IGS analysis, and mating study. A correct identification of *F. proliferatum* associated with economically important crops as well as from environmental samples is essential as this will assist in the formulation of suitable management of plant diseases, prediction on the host range and mycotoxins production.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Fungal isolates

Isolates of *F. proliferatum* were attained from the stock culture of the Plant Pathology Laboratory, School of Biological Sciences, Universiti Sains Malaysia, Penang. The isolates were labelled using a coding system based on the host/substrate and location of the isolates collected (Table 1). Initially, all the isolates were tentatively identified as *F. proliferatum* using microscopic and macroscopic characteristics, based on species description by Nelson *et al.* (1983).

DNA extraction and PCR amplification

For DNA extraction, the fungal isolates were grown in Potato Dextrose Broth (PDB) and then incubated for 6 days, after which, the mycelia were harvested and lyophilized. The lyophilized mycelia were ground in a sterile mortar and pestle using liquid nitrogen with to a fine powder. Approximately 0.22 - 0.25 g of the mycelia fine powder were used for DNA extraction. Genomic DNA was extracted using DNeasy[®] Plant Mini Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

PCR reaction was carried out in a total volume of 25 μ L containing 4 μ L 5× Green GoTaq[®] Flexi Buffer, 4 μ L of 25 mM MgCl₂, 0.5 μ L of 10 mM dNTP mix (Promega, Madison, WI, USA), 0.75 U/ μ L GoTaq[®] DNA polymerase (Promega[®], USA), and 4 μ L of 5 μ M each primer EF1 (5'-ATGGGTAA GGAGGACAAGAC-3') and EF2 (5'-GGAAGTA CCAGTGATCATGTT-3') (O'Donnell *et al.*, 1998b) and 6 ng genomic DNA.

PCR amplification was carried out in a thermal cycler (Bio-Rad MyCycler, Hercules, CA, USA) with the following conditions: initial denaturation at 94°C for 85 sec, 35 cycles of denaturation at 95°C at 35 sec, annealing at 59°C for 55 sec and extension at 72°C for 2 min and final extension at 72°C for 10 min.

Agarose gel electrophoresis (1.5%) was carried out at 80 V, 400 mA for 90 min. The estimated bands were visualized under UV light using Bio-Rad Molecular Imager[®] Gel Doc[™] XR System, and the bands were estimated based on 1 kb DNA marker (GeneRuler[™] Plus DNA ladder, ready-to-use, Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA) using Quantity One[®] 1-D Analysis Software version 4.6.5. The PCR products were then sent for sequencing to a service provider.

Sequence analysis of TEF-1α gene

The DNA sequences were pairwise aligned using Molecular Evolution Genetic Analysis (MEGA) version 5.0 (Tamura *et al.*, 2011) and where necessary, the sequences were edited manually. The aligned sequences were then BLAST against sequences in the Fusarium-ID (http://isolate. fusariumdb.org/index.php) and GenBank databases to obtain the maximum identity of the isolates.

Multiple sequence alignments were generated to produce a phylogenetic tree and Maximum-Likelihood (ML) method was used to construct the tree using MEGA5. Kimura 2-parameter model was found to be suitable to generate the ML tree with 1,000 replicates. Missing data and gaps were treated as complete deletion. The heuristic method used in ML was Nearest-Neighbour-Interchange (NNI) and the initial tree for the ML was generated automatically. *Fusarium proliferatum* NRRL22944, *F. fujikuroi* NRRL13566 and *F. globosom* NRRL26131 were included in phylogenetic analysis. *Fusarium oxysporum* and *F. inflexum* served as an outgroup to root the tree.

PCR of IGS region

PCR amplification of IGS region was carried out in a total volume of 50 μ L reaction containing 5 μ L of 25 mM MgCl₂, 0.8 μ L of 10 mM dNTP mix (Promega), 10 μ L of 5× Colourless GoTaq[®] Flexi Buffer (Promega), 8 μ L of 5 μ M each primer CNL12

No	Isolate Code	Disease	Host / Substrate	Location
1	P48N	Crown rot	Banana (Berangan)	Gelugor, Penang
2	P57G	Leaf rot	Onion	Sungai Ara Penang
2	D64NI	Crown rot	Banana (Borangan)	Donong
3	F U4IN	Clowin lot		Penang
4	PTUN	Clowin lot	Banana (Berangan)	Penang
5	P195N	Crown rot	Banana (Berangan)	Penang
6	K680R	Bakanae	Rice, stem	Haji Kudung, Kedah
7	K687R	Bakanae	Rice, stem	Kampung Paya, Kedah
8	P761M	Malformation	Mango (Magolba), inflorescence	Air Hitam, Penang
9	P770M	Malformation	Mango (Epal), inflorescence	Teluk Kumbar, Penang
10	P821M	Malformation	Mango (Epal) inflorescence	Teluk Kumbar, Penang
11	S001A	Crown rot	Asparagus (var MW 500) stem	Kundasang Sabah
10	5002A	Crown rot	Asparagus (var. MW 500), stem	Kundasang, Sabah
12	3902A	Clowinio	Asparagus (var. www.500), stem	
13	P970F		wheat, grain	Teluk Kumbar, Penang
14	P971F		Wheat, grain	Teluk Kumbar, Penang
15	P972F		Wheat, grain	Teluk Kumbar, Penang
16	P976O		Corn, seed	Teluk Kumbar, Penang
17	P9770		Corn, seed	Teluk Kumbar, Penang
18	Q1006Q	Root rot	Sorghum	Sri Aman Sarawak
10	010070	Root rot	Sorghum	Sri Aman Sarawak
20	010000	Root rot	Sorghum	Sri Aman Sarawak
20	Q1009Q	ROOLIOL	Sorghum	
21	Q1012Q	Root rot	Sorgnum	Sri Aman Sarawak
22	Q1019Q	Root rot	Sorghum	Sri Aman Sarawak
23	Q1032Q	Root rot	Sorghum	Sri Aman Sarawak
24	C1051=	Infected	flower	Cameron Highland, Pahang
25	C1053=	Infected	flower	Cameron Highland, Pahang
26	C1054=	Infected	flower	Cameron Highland, Pahang
27	D1233A	Crown rot	Asparagus (var. Trio), stom	Sungai Ara, Donang
21	F 1233A	Infected	Asparagus (var. 1110), stern	
28	B1380=	Infected		ASEAN PLANTI, Serdang, Selangor
29	P1693A	Crown rot	Asparagus (var. BI), stem	Sungai Ara, Penang
30	P1720A	Crown rot	Asparagus (var. California), stem	Sungai Ara, Penang
31	B1848A	Crown rot	Asparagus var. Jainan, stem	MARDI, Kelang, Selangor
32	A2059W	Root rot	Watermelon, root	Kampung Sungai Terap Dalam, Taiping, Perak
33	K3240U	Pokkah boeng	Sugarcane young leaf	Padang Terap Kedah
3/	K3244U	Pokkab boeng	Sugarcane, young leaf	Padang Teran, Kedah
25	K22440	Pokkah boong	Sugarcane, young leaf	Dedeng Toron, Kedah
30	R32430			
30	Ρ4079π	Fruit rot	Dragon fruit, fruit	
37	B4357DS	Fruit rot	Yam, fruit	Tanjung Karang, Selangor
38	Α4714π	Stem rot	Dragon fruit, stem	Setiawan, Perak
39	S4882O		Corn grain	Ranau, Sabah
40	S4895O		Corn grain	Ranau, Sabah
41	K5011XI		Torch ginger rhizome	Sungai Jed Empangan Pedu Kuala Nerang Kedah
12	KI 5058XI	Loof spot	Torch ginger, loof	Sungai Avon Empangan Podu, Kuala Norang, Kodah
42		Lear spor	Outdeer ein	Den Combong, Debong
43	05107AR			
44	C5195AR		Outdoor air	Genting Highland, Pahang
45	A5199AR		Outdoor air	Gua Tempurung, Perak
46	Q5272O		Corn grain	Sri Aman, Sarawak
47	S5273O		Corn grain	Ranau, Sabah
48	Q5310O		Corn grain	Serian, Sarawak
49	Q5361O		Corn grain	Serian Sarawak
50	C5/17=	Infected flower	Heliconia latisnatha	Gambang Pahang
50	C5424-	Infected flower	Helicopia latispatha	Combang Pabang
51	05421-	Infected HOWEI		
52	05420=	intected flower		Gambang, Panang
53	C5427=	Intected flower	Heliconia latispatha	Gambang, Pahang
54	C5431=	Infected flower	Heliconia latispatha	Gambang, Pahang
55	C5432=	Infected flower	Heliconia latispatha	Gambang, Pahang
56	C5433=	Infected flower	Heliconia latispatha	Gambang, Pahang
57	C5436=	Infected flower	Heliconia latispatha	Gambang Pahang
58	C5/38=	Infected flower	Tagetes erecta	Gambang Pahang
50	C5420-	Infected flower		Combang, Pahang
09	05439-			
60	C544b=	Intected flower	l'agetes erecta	Gambang, Panang
61	C5496=	Infected flower	Tagetes erecta	Gambang, Pahang
62	P5791W		Root rot of watermelon	Kampung Sungai Terap Dalam, Taiping, Perak
63	Q5942O		Corn grain	Serian, Sarawak
64	Q5978O		Corn grain	Serian, Sarawak
65	Τ6106π	Stem rot	Dragon fruit	Kampung Jambu Bongkok Marang Terengganu
66	T6316#	Stem rot	Dragon fruit	Kampung Jambu Bongkok Marang Torongganu
67	C66628		Cross	Compress Highland, Dohang
07	000020			
80	00003&	T 1	Grass	Cameron Highland, Pahang
69	P7184X	I uber rot	Potato	Penang
70	P7420X	Tuber rot	Potato	Penang
71	S7579S		Debri	Poring, Sabah
72	P8112X	Tuber rot	Potato	Penang
73	P8114X	Tuber rot	Potato	Penang
74	P8115X	Tuber rot	Potato	Penang
1 7	1 0 1 10/1			i onong

Table 1. Fusarium proliferatum isolates used in this study, and their host/substrate

(5'-CTGACCGCCTCTAAGTCAG-3') and CNS1 (5'-GAGACAAGCATATGACTACTG-3') (Appel & Gordon, 1995), 1.25 U/ of 5 Unit GoTaq®DNA polymerase (Promega), and 8 ng genomic DNA.

PCR amplification was carried out as follows: initial denaturation at 94°C for 2 min, followed by 35 cycles of denaturation at 94°C for 35 sec, annealing at 59°C for 55 sec and extension at 72°C for 2 min and final extension at 72°C for 7 min. The amplified IGS region was subjected to 1.5% agarose gel, and run at 80 V, 400 mA for 90 min. The bands were visualized under UV light using Bio-Rad Molecular Imager[®] Gel Doc[™] XR System and estimated based on 1 kb DNA marker using Quantity One[®] 1-D Analysis Software version 4.6.5.

IGS-RFLP analysis

The PCR products (amplified IGS region) were digested separately with five restriction enzymes: Alu1, BsuR1, Bsu151, EcoR1 and Msp1 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA). The reaction mixture consisted of 10 µL of the PCR products, digested with 1 μ L of 10 U restriction enzyme, 1 μ L of 10× restriction's buffer, and 1 µL distilled water. Each reaction mixture was incubated according to the manufacturer's instruction. Digestions of Msp1, Alu1, BsuR1, and Bsu151 were incubated at 37°C while digestion with EcoR1 was incubated at 65°C. Agarose gel 2.5% was used to separate the digested PCR products and run at 80 V, and 400 mA for 180 min. The estimated size of the restriction bands was based on a 100 bp DNA marker (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA).

Cluster analysis and UPGMA dendrogram

The restriction bands were scored on a basis of presence (1) or absence (0). A data matrix was generated from the scoring and converted to a similarity matrix. The similarity matrix was then subjected to a cluster analysis using the unweighted pair group method with arithmetical averages (UPGMA). The UPGMA cluster analysis was based on simple matching coefficient (SMC) (Romesburg, 1984):

C_{invert} = C_{invert} = C_{invert} = C_{invert} = C_{invert}	a + a		
Simple matching coefficient $(SMC) = -$	a+b+c+d		

a= number of bands present in two isolates b= total number of bands unique in isolates 1 c= total number of bands unique in isolates 2 d= number of bands absent in two isolates

The data was analyzed using the Numerical Taxonomy and Multivariate Analysis System (NTSYS-pc, version 2.1) (Rohlf, 2000). *Fusarium solani* was chosen as an out-group.

Mating study

PCR amplification of mating type alleles

The isolates of *F. proliferatum* were crossed with known tester strains to evaluate its sexual compatibility. The mating-type alleles (*MAT-1* & *MAT-2*) carried by each isolate was determined by PCR amplification, to reduce the number of crosses performed. The isolates were only crossed with the tester strain of the opposite mating type (Leslie *et al.*, 2004).

The isolates were amplified to determine the *MAT-1* and *MAT-2* alleles carried. Amplification reactions were carried out in a total volume 20 μ L reactions containing 2 μ L 25 mM MgCl₂, 2 μ L 10 mM dNTP mix (Promega), 2 μ L 10× buffer, 0.5 U/ μ L GoTaq[®] DNA polymerase (Promega), 2 μ L of each primer: GFmat1a (5'-GTTCATCAAAGGGCAAGCG-3') and GFmat1b (5'-TAAGCGCCCTCTTAACGC TTC-3') for amplification of *MAT1* allele or GFmat2d (5'-AGCGTCATTATTCGATCAAG-3') and GFmat2d (5'-CTACGTTGAGAG-CTGTACAG-3') for *MAT-2* allele (Steenkamp *et al.*, 2000) and 60 ng genomic DNA.

PCR amplification for both *MAT*-alleles was carried out as follows: initial denaturation at 94°C for 1 min, denaturation at 94°C for 30 sec, annealing at 65°C for *MAT-1* allele and 53°C for *MAT-2* for 30 sec, extension at 72°C for 30 sec and final extension at 72°C for 5 min.

The PCR products were subjected to 1.5% agarose gel electrophoresis, carried out at 80 V, 400 mA for 90 min. The bands were estimated based on 1 kb DNA marker (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA) using Quantity One[®] 1-D Analysis Software version 4.6.5.

Sexual crosses

Sexual crosses or mating study was carried out as described by Klittich and Leslie (1988) and Klaasen and Nelson (1996). Isolates of *F. proliferatum* were crossed with tester strains of mating population C (MP-C) with *Gibberella fujikuroi* as teleomorph and mating population D (MP-D), teleomorph *Gibberella intermedia*. Crosses with the tester strains of MP-C were also conducted as *F. proliferatum* were sibling species with *F. fujikuroi*.

Isolates that carried the MAT-1 allele were crossed with the tester strains of MAT2-C and MAT2-D while isolates that carried the MAT-2 allele were crossed with the tester strains of MAT1-C and MAT1-D. The tester strains were obtained from the Department of Plant Pathology, Throckmorton Plant Sciences Centre, Kansas State University, Manhattan, USA. To determine cross-fertility for *F. proliferatum*, tester strains used were MATD-1(KSU04854) and MATD-2 (KSU04853) while tester strains for *F. fujikuroi* were *MATC-1* (KSU01993) and *MATC-2* (KSU01995).

Production of blue or black perithecia with asci and ascospores are an indication of successful crosses between *F. proliferatum* isolates and the tester strains. From the crosses, cirrhus of ascospores can be observed emerging from the perithecia after 2-5 weeks of incubation. For observation of the ascospores in the asci, the perithecia were mounted on a slide and observed under a light microscope (Olympus CX-41).

RESULTS

Molecular identification and phylogenetic analysis

Amplification of the TEF-1 α gene produced 750 bp band for all *F. proliferatum* isolates. A BLAST search of TEF-1 α sequences produced 97–100% similarity with *F. proliferatum* in both Fusarium-ID and GenBank databases.

Maximum Likelihood (ML) phylogenetic tree generated with 1,000 replicates is shown in Figure 1, and the grouping of the isolates from various hosts and substrates can be divided into nine clades, A – I. Generally, the isolates did not cluster according to the hosts or substrates except isolates in Clades D, F and G. Clade D consisted of isolates from sugarcane with pokkah boeng symptoms and Clade F, isolates recovered from rot lesion of potato tuber. Two isolates from rice stem with bakanae disease symptoms were grouped in Clade G. The reference strain, F. proliferatum NRRL22944 was grouped in Clade F with five isolates recovered from rot lesion of potato tuber. The other clades (A, B, C, E, H and I) consisted of isolates from various hosts and substrates. Based on the grouping of the isolates, genetic variation was observed among the F. proliferatum isolates from various hosts and substrates. Other Fusarium species, F. globosum, F. fujikuroi, F. inflexum and F. oxysporum form separate clades.

RFLP-IGS and cluster analysis

Amplification of IGS region of *F. proliferatum* isolates produced a single band of 2600 bp. Table 2 shows the RFLP groups, IGS haplotypes and restriction patterns of *F. proliferatum* isolates. Depending on the restriction enzymes, 6 to 10 restriction patterns were resolved among 74 isolates of *F. proliferatum*. Isolates that produce the same restriction patterns for the restriction enzymes used were scored as the same IGS haplotype. A total of 67 IGS haplotypes were designated among the isolates, demonstrated that the restriction patterns produced were highly variable.

A dendrogram was generated using UPGMA cluster analysis to ascertain the genetic relationships

of the F. proliferatum isolates from various hosts and substrates (Figure 2). The isolates were divided into three RFLP groups indicated that the isolates of F. proliferatum showed intraspecific variations. RFLP group I consisted of 37 isolates from different hosts/substrates, namely asparagus, banana, dragon fruit, debris, flowers, sorghum, grass, mango, outdoor air, potato, yam, rice grains, and watermelon. The similarity of the isolates in RFLP group I ranged from 84-100%. RFLP group II comprised 24 isolates from banana, corn, dragon fruit, flower, mango, onion, sorghum, sugarcane and torch ginger, with similarity ranged from 82-100%. Isolates in RFLP group III have similarity from 80-100% and consist of 13 isolates from corn, wheat, flowers, sorghum and torch ginger.

Mating study

From PCR amplification of *MAT* alleles, 40 isolates carried *MAT-1* while 34 isolates carried *MAT-2*. However, only 37 isolates of *F. proliferatum* that carry *MAT-1* allele crossed fertile with tester strain of *MATD-2*, and 33 isolates that carry *MAT-2* allele crossed fertile with tester strain of *MATD-1*. Four isolates (K680R, P902O, Q1054Q, and S4882O) did not cross fertile with any of the tester strain and regarded as infertile. None of the isolates was cross-fertile with MP-C tester strains.

Seventy isolates formed perithecia with asci and viable ascospores while four isolates (K680R, P902O, Q1054Q, and S4882O) did not produce any fertile progeny. After two months of incubation, black perithecia with ooze were visible (Figure 3A). In the perithecia, eight ascospores in an ascus were observed (Figures 3B & 3C). The ascospores were oval with 1-septate (Figure 3D). Isolates *F. proliferatum* cross-fertile with MP-D tester strains indicated that the isolates were able to form the teleomorph stage.

DISCUSSION

Species identity of morphologically identified *F. proliferatum* isolates in stock culture collection were confirmed based on TEF-1 α sequences. The phylogenetic tree generated using TEF-1 α sequences indicated a high intraspecific variability of the isolates, which was shown by the number of clades formed in the phylogenetic tree. Intraspecific variability of *F. proliferatum* from different hosts and origin based on phylogenetic analysis of TEF-1 α sequences was also reported by Jurado *et al.* (2010). The study by Jurado *et al.* (2010) demonstrated a high level of genetic variation among the isolates of *F. proliferatum* of which the isolates were clustered into four groups and three subgroups. The variability within *F. proliferatum*



Fig. 1. Maximum likelihood phylogenetic tree of *F. proliferatum* isolates from various hosts/substrates based on TEF-1 α sequences. The grouping of the isolates can be divided into nine clades (A – I). *Fusarium inflexum* and *F. oxysporum* are the out-groups.

isolates might be due to the species causing various types of diseases and differences in the hosts infected or substrates occupied (Leslie, 1995) as well as their ability to adapt and evolve in various environmental conditions (Medina *et al.*, 2017). *Fusarium proliferatum* has also been reported to cause diseases on various crops including cereal grains (Mielniczuk & Skwarylo-Bednarz, 2020), corn (Scarpino *et al.*, 2015; Wang *et al.*, 2021), asparagus (Stepien *et al.*, 2016; Djalali Farahani-Kofoet *et al.*, 2020), dragon-fruits (Masratul Hawa *et al.*, 2013), pineapple (Nurul Faziha *et al.*, 2016), garlic (Mondali *et al.*, 2021), mango (Omar *et al.*, 2018) and even infected humans (Herbrecht *et al.*, 2004; Sun *et al.*, 2018).

Phylogenetic analysis showed that there was no relatedness between the grouping of the *F*. *proliferatum* isolates with the hosts. The results were similar with studies by Proctor *et al.* (2010) and Jurado *et al.* (2010) of which the phylogenetic analysis based on TEF-1 α sequences of *F*. *proliferatum* isolates from diverse hosts and locations did not cluster according to the hosts and the locations. The results suggested that isolates of

70	nijeri		
-		/ / / /	

Isolates	RFLP	Groups	IGS haplotype	Mon1	EacP1	ction band pa	Alua	Pou151
P48N		1	1	NSp1		B	C	Δ
P64N		1	1	A	0	B	 	A
Q1032Q		1	2	A	B	B	C	B
P7420X		1	3	Н	C	D	C	A
P821M			4	A	С	В	С	В
C6662&		l	4	А	С	В	С	В
C5187AR			5	А	D	В	С	В
S901A			6	A	С	В	С	С
Q1009Q		1	7	A	D	В	C	C
A5199AR		<u> </u>	7	<u>A</u>	D	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
B4357DS		1	8	A	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
D4070		1	9	A	D	B		D
P8112X		1	10	B	<u> </u>		C	B
P8114X		<u> </u>	11	B	<u> </u>	<u>D</u>	<u>C</u>	 F
P8115X			12	B	E	D	C	 F
S7579S		1	13	I	F	G	C	F
C1051=			14	A	D	В	С	F
C1054=			15	А	С	В	F	А
C1053=			16	В	С	В	F	A
P70N			17	В	D	В	С	С
B1380=		1	18	В	В	В	C	С
K687R		<u> </u>	19	<u> </u>	F	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	C
K680R		1	20	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
C5/26=		1	20	<u> </u>	C	<u> </u>		<u> </u>
010060		<u> </u>	21	R	C	R	C	R
<u>\$48820</u>		<u>.</u> 	23	R	<u> </u>	B	<u>C</u>	Δ
B1848A			24	н	<u> </u>	B	C	F
P761M		1	25	Н	C	B	C	A
P1720A			26	Н	A	В	C	A
P2059W			27	G	С	В	С	А
S4895Q			28	В	С	В	С	А
P5791W			28	В	С	В	С	A
S902A		1	29	E	С	В	C	A
P7184X		1	30	E	C	B	<u> </u>	B
P1693A			31	A	E	<u>A</u>	<u> </u>	B
P5/G		 	32	B	<u> </u>	B	A	B
D105N		11	33	B	C	A	A	B
P9760		II	34	B	0		G	B
Q1007Q			35		<u> </u>	B	E	B
P770M			36	В	C	A	G	B
Q5272O			37	E	С	A	E	В
S5273O		11	38	В	С	А	С	В
C5446=			39	С	F	A	С	В
Q1019Q		11	40	E	С	A	A	В
K5011XL		<u> </u>	41	B	E	A	<u> </u>	B
<u>C5438=</u>			42	C	C	<u>A</u>	<u> </u>	В
<u>C5439=</u>			43	E	<u> </u>	A	E	B
$\frac{0.0427}{0.0427}$		11	44			A	A	Δ
K324011		II	46		<u> </u>	E	C	B
K3245U			47	<u></u>	H	G	E	B
K3244U		11	48	D	H	F	C	B
C5417=		11	49	D	Н	F	С	С
C5421=		11	50	F	Н	F	С	С
C5432=			51	D	Н	С	С	В
Τ6106π		11	52	D	Н	С	С	E
C5436=		11	53	D	H	C	C	B
<u>C5431=</u>		11	54	D	<u>H</u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	B
P970F	I		55	E	G	G	<u> </u>	E
P972F	I		57	J I	6	E	C	<u> </u>
P9770			58			 R	<u>A</u>	C
Q1012Q			59	A	B	B	A	
C5433=			60	B	B	B	A	Č
C5496=	I		61	A	B	A	A	C
C6663&		11	62	А	В	A	E	D
K5058XL		11	63	С	D	В	В	F
Q5310O	I		64	С	E	В	В	F
Q5978O	I		65	C	В	A	В	F
Q5361O	I		66	E	С	В	В	D
C5942O			67	B	E	A	B	D
			10121	10	ч	(6

Table 2. RFLP groups, IGS haplotypes, and restriction patterns of 74 isolates of F. proliferatum from various hosts /substrates



Fig. 2. Dendrogram constructed using UPGMA cluster analysis of *F. proliferatum* isolates based on RFLP-IGS analysis. *Fusarium proliferatum* isolates from various hosts/substrates are clustered in three RFLP groups, I, II, and III. *Fusarium solani* is the out-group.



Fig. 3. Mating study of *F. proliferatum* with formation of perithecia and ascospores. (A) Black perithecia and ooze from the perithecia; (B) Asci and ascospores; (C) Ascospores in asci; (D) Ascospores.

F. proliferatum can infect various hosts when the conditions permitted. Other factors might be related to the evolution of the host and the pathogen due to environmental conditions as well as the pathogen introductions in an area or location due to the movement of infected planting materials and agricultural products (Almiman, 2017).

Restriction patterns produced by *F. proliferatum* isolates also indicated intraspecific variation in the IGS region. The variations in the IGS region resulted from minor alterations or variations in the nucleotide composition leading to different restriction patterns from the isolates of the same species (Apple & Gordon, 1995; Konstantinova & Yli-Mattila, 2004). The variations in the IGS region are also caused by insertions or deletions in the sub-repeats units within the region and unequal crossed over, which demonstrated that this region might be evolving intensively (Hillis & Dixon, 1991).

High levels of genetic variation were also shown by 67 haplotypes produced among isolates of F. proliferatum from various hosts and substrates. The results are similar to a study by Láday et al. (2008) of which 16 haplotypes were detected among 184 isolates of F. proliferatum using RFLP of mtDNA. Heng et al. (2012) also reported 53 haplotypes were detected among 74 isolates of Fusarium species from maize, sugarcane and rice using RFLP-IGS analysis. High levels of genetic variation of F. proliferatum are possibly due to rapid acclimatisation of the isolates to different ecological conditions for survival (McDonald & McDermott, 1993). This situation leads to modification of genetic structure which resulted in a high number of haplotypes from various hosts and substrates.

Four isolates of F. proliferatum did not produce perithecia and therefore these isolates were considered infertile. The results of the mating study are an indication of biological species which showed that morphologically identified as *F*. *proliferatum* were certainly *F. proliferatum*. A high number of successful crosses was also reported by Armengol *et al.* (2005) where 34 from 36 isolates of *F. proliferatum* isolated from ornamental palms were categorized as MP-D. Several studies also showed similar results where a high number of MP-D crosses has been reported on several host plants such as asparagus (Bargen *et al.*, 2009), date palm (Sharafaddin *et al.*, 2019), onion and garlic (Stankovic *et al.*, 2007; Salvalaggio & Ridao, 2013), rice (Matić *et al.*, 2013) and corn kernels (Li *et al.*, 2019).

All isolates of *F. proliferatum* were not crossedfertile with tester strain of MP-C indicated interfertility did not occur even though *F. fujikuroi* was reported to be sibling species of *F. proliferatum* (Desjardins *et al.*, 1997). A study by Heng *et al.* (2011) showed similar results where *F. proliferatum* isolates from maize, sugarcane and rice did not crossfertile with MP-C tester strain.

In this study, both mating-type alleles (MAT-1 & MAT-2) were detected and most of the F. proliferatum isolates were able to produce fertile progeny. Both mating types were also detected from isolates originated from the same host, namely banana (MATD-1 - three isolates & MATD-2 - one isolate), and Heliconia latispatha (MATD-1 - seven isolates and MATD-2 - one isolate). The results suggested a potential of genetic recombination among the isolates particularly isolates in the same field. A study by Armengol et al. (2005) on F. proliferatum as infected ornamental palms suggested the potential of genetic recombination in the field as MATD-1 and MATD-2 alleles were detected from the same host. Similar results were also reported by Abdalla et al. (2000) on F. proliferatum from date palm of which both mating-type alleles (MATD-1 & MATD-2) were also detected and crossable isolates were able to produce fertile perithecia. The findings also suggested a potential for genetic recombination among isolates in the field. According to Armengol et al. (2005), a high frequency of genetic recombination in the field could improve the genetic pool available for F. proliferatum population.

Fusarium proliferatum is a well-known plant pathogen and mycotoxin producer, thus correct species identification is important as this will assist in developing attainable plant disease management, predicting the pathogen-host range as the species has a wide host range and predicting the types of mycotoxins produced particularly on cereal grains as well as other susceptible crops. In addition, the genetic variability of *Fusarium* spp. particularly *F. proliferatum* is essential as this species could survive and adapt to an environment that changes drastically. This capability can lead to the appearance of new strains that are resistant to fungicide and able to overcome host resistance (Klittich & Leslie, 1988).

In the present study, morphologically identified *F. proliferatum* isolates obtained from stock culture collection were re-identified and the species identity was confirmed using TEF-1 α sequences and mating study. Phylogenetic analysis of TEF-1 α sequences and RFLP-IGS indicated that the *F. proliferatum* isolates from various hosts and substrates were highly variable.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This research was supported by Fundamental Research Grant Scheme (203/PBIOLOGI/6711220), Ministry of Higher Education, Malaysia. We also would like to thank Dr Baharuddin Salleh who initiated this research work, and for his valuable advice and contributions.

REFERENCES

- Almiman, B.F. 2017. Molecular Genetic and Genomic Characterization of a Mycotoxigenic Emerging Pathogen Fusarium proliferatum (Ph.D). University of Beckfordshire, United Kingdom.
- Apple, D.J. & Gordon, T.R. 1995. Intraspecific variation within populations of *Fusarium* oxysporum based on analysis of the intergenic spacer region of the rDNA. *Experimental* Mycology, 19: 120-128.
- Armengol, J., Moretti, A., Perrone, G., Vicent, A., Bengoechea, J.A. & Garcia-Jemenez, J. 2005.
 Identification, incidence and characterization of *Fusarium proliferatum* on ornamental palms in Spain. *European Journal of Plant Pathology*, 112: 123-131.
- Bargen, S.V., Martinez, O., Schadock., I., Eisold, A-M., Gossmann, M. & Buttner, C. 2009. Genetic variability of phytopathogenic *Fusarium* proliferatum associated with crown rot in Asparagus officinalis. Journal of Phytopathology, 157: 46-456.
- Desjardins, A.E., Plattner, R.D. & Nelson, P.E. 1997. Productions of fumonisin B1 and moniliformin by *Gibberella fujikuroi* from rice from various geographic areas. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 63: 1838-1842.
- Djalali Farahani-Kofoet, R., Witzel, K., Graefe, J., Grosch, R. & Zrenner R. 2020. Species-specific impact of *Fusarium* infection on the root and shoot characteristics of *Asparagus*. *Pathogens*, 9(6): 509.

- Edel, V., Steinberg, C., Gautheron, N., Recorbet, G. & Alabouvette, C. 2001. Genetic diversity of *Fusarium oxysporum* populations isolated from different soils in France. *FEMS Microbiology* and Ecology, **36**: 61-71.
- Geiser, D.M., Jiménez-Gasco, M.D.M., Kang, S., Makalowska, I., Veeraraghavan, N., Ward, T.J., Zhang, N., Kuldau, G.A. & O'Donnell, K. 2004.
 FUSARIUM-ID v. 1.0: A DNA sequence database for identifying *Fusarium*. *European Journal of Plant Pathology*, 110: 473-479.
- Guo, Z., Pfohl, K., Karlovsky, P., Dehne, H.-W. & Altincicek, B. 2016. Fumonisin B1 and beauvericin accumulation in wheat kernels after seed-borne infection with *Fusarium* proliferatum. Agricultural and Food Science, 25(2): 138-145.
- Guarnaccia, V., Aiello, D., Polizzi, G., Crous, P.W. & Sandoval-Denis, M. 2019. Soilborne diseases caused by *Fusarium* and *Neocosmospora* spp. on ornamental plants in Italy *Phytopathologia Mediterranea*, 58(1): 127-137.
- Hattori, N., Shirai, A., Sugiura, Y., Li, W., Yokoyama, K., Misawa, Y. & Okuzumi, K. 2005. Onychomycosis caused by *Fusarium proliferatum*. *British Journal of Dermatology*, **153**: 647-649.
- Heng, H.M., Salleh, B. & Latiffah, Z. 2011. Molecular identification of *Fusarium* species in *Gibberella fujikuroi* species complex from rice, sugarcane, and maize from Peninsular Malaysia. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, 12: 6722-6732.
- Heng, H.M., Salleh, B. & Latiffah, Z. 2012. Characterization of *Fusarium* species section Liseola by restriction analysis of the IGS region. *Genetics and Molecular Research*, 11(1): 383-392.
- Hillis, D.M. & Davis, S.K. 1988. Ribosomal DNA: Intraspecific polymorphism, concerted evolution, and phylogeny reconstruction. *Systematic Zoology*, 37: 63-66.
- Hillis, D.M. & Dixon, M.T. 1991. Ribosomal DNA : molecular evolution and phylogenetic inference. *Quarterly Review of Biology*, **66**: 411-453.
- Hong, E.H., Jang, Y.J., Cho, E.B., Park, E.J., Kim, K.J. & Kim, K.H. 2019. A case of fingernail onychomycosis caused by *Fusarium proliferatum. Korean Journal of Medical Mycology*, 24(2): 58-62.
- Jurado, M., Marín, P., Callejas, C., Moretti, A., Vázquez, C. & González-Jaén, M.T. 2010. Genetic variability and fumonisin production by *Fusarium proliferatum. Food Microbiology*, 27: 50-57.
- Klaasen, J.A. & Nelson, P.E. 1996. Identification of a mating population, *Gibberella nygamai* sp. nov., within the *Fusarium nygamai* anamorph. *Mycologia*, 88: 965-969.

- Klittich, C.J.R. & Leslie, J.F. 1988. Nitrate reduction mutants of *Fusarium moniliforme* (*Gibberella fujikuroi*). *Genetics*, **11**: 417-423.
- Konstantinova, P. & Yli-Mattila, T. 2004. IGS-RFLP analysis and development of molecular markers for identification of *Fusarium poae*, *Fusarium* langsethiae, Fusarium sporotrichioides and Fusarium kyushuense. International Journal of Food Microbiology, 95: 321-331.
- Láday, M., Stubnta, V., Hamari, Z. & Hornok, L. 2008. Characterization of a new mitochondrial plasmid from *Fusarium proliferatum*. *Plasmid*, **59**: 127-133.
- Lei, S., Wang, L., Liu, L., Hou, Y., Xu, Y., Liang, M., Gao, J., Li, Q. & Huang, S. 2019. Infection and colonization of pathogenic fungus *Fusarium proliferatum* in rice spikelet rot disease. *Rice Science*, 26(1): 60-68.
- Leslie, J.F. 1995. *Gibberella fujikuroi*: Available population and variable traits. *Canadian Journal of Botany*, **73(1)**: 282-291.
- Leslie, J.F. & Summerell, B.A. 2006. The Laboratory *Fusarium* Manual. Blackwell Publishing Ltd, United Kingdom. 388 pp.
- Leslie, J.F., Zeller, K.A., Logrieco, A., Mulè, G., Moretti, A. & Ritieni, A. 2004. Species diversity and toxin production by strains in the *Gibberella fujikuroi* species complex isolated from native prairie grasses in Kansas. *Applied* and Environmental Microbiology, **70**: 2254-2262.
- Li, L., Qu, Q., Cao, Z., Guo, Z., Jia, H., Liu, N., Wang, Y. & Dong, J. 2019. The relationship analysis on corn stalk rot and ear rot according to *Fusarium* species and fumonisin contamination in kernels. *Toxins*, **11(6)**: 1-15.
- Masratul Hawa, M., Salleh, B. & Latiffah, Z. 2013. Characterization and pathogenicity of *Fusarium* proliferatum causing stem rot of *Hylocereus* polyrhizus in Malaysia. Annals of Applied Biology, **163**: 269-280.
- Matiæ, S., Spadaro, D., Prelle, A., Gullino, M.L. & Garibaldi, A. 2013. Light affects fumonisin production in strains of *Fusarium fujikuroi*, *Fusarium proliferatum*, and *Fusarium verticillioides* isolated from rice. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, **166(3)**: 515-523.
- Medina, Á., González-Jartín, J.M. & Sainz, M.J. 2017. Impact of global warming on mycotoxins. *Current Opinion in Food Science*, **18**: 76-81.
- Mielniczuk, E. & Skwarylo-Bednarz, B. 2020. Fusarium head blight, mycotoxins and strategies for their reduction. *Agronomy*, **10(4)**: 509.
- Mondani, L., Chiusa, G., Pietri, A. & Battilani, P. 2021. Monitoring the incidence of dry rot caused by *Fusarium proliferatum* in garlic at harvest and during storage. *Postharvest Biology and Technology*, **173**: 111407.

- Noguche, H., Hiruma, M., Matsumoto, T., Kano, R. & Ihn, H. 2017. Ungual hyalohyphomycosis caused by *Fusarium proliferatum* in an immunocompetent patient. *Journal of Derma*tology, 44: 88-90.
- Nelson, P.E., Toussoun, T.A. & Marasas, W.F.O. 1983. *Fusarium* Species, An Illustrated Manual for Identification. Pennsylvania State University Press, University Park. pp. 192.
- Nirenberg, H.I. & O'Donnell, K. 1998. New *Fusarium* species and combinations within the *Gibberella fujikuroi* species complex. *Mycologia* **90**, 434-458.
- Nurul Faziha, I., Masratul Hawa, M., Nik Mohd Izham, M.N. & Latiffah, Z. 2016. Fusarium fujikuroi causing fusariosis of pineapple in peninsular Malaysia. Australasian Plant Disease Notes, 11(21): 1-6.
- Omar, N.H., Masratul Hawa, M., Nik Mohd Izham, N.M.N. & Latiffah, Z. 2018. Characterization and pathogenicity of *Fusarium* species associated with leaf spot of mango (*Mangifera indica* L.). *Microbial Pathogenesis*, 114: 362-368.
- Proctor, R.H., Desjardins, A.E. & Moretti, A. 2010. Biological and chemical complexity of *Fusarium* proliferatum. The Role of Plant Pathology in Food Safety and Food Security, **3(3)**: 97-111.
- Rohlf, F.J. 2000. NTSYS-pc numerical taxonomy and multivariate analysis system, version 2.1. Exeter Publishing Limited, New York. pp. 38.
- Romesburg, H.C. 1984. Cluster Analysis for Researchers. Lifetime, California.
- Salvalaggio, A.E. & Ridao, A.D.C. 2013. First report of *Fusarium proliferatum* causing rot on garlic and onion in Argentina. *Disease Notes*, 97(4): 556.
- Saleh, A.A., Sharafaddin, A.H., El_Komy, M.H. Ibrahim, Y.E., Hamad, Y.K. & Molan, Y.Y. 2017. *Fusarium* species associated with date palm in Saudi Arabia. *European Journal of Plant Pathology*, 148: 367-377.
- Scarpino, V., Reyneri, A., Vanara, F., Scopel, C., Causin, R. & Blandino, M. 2015. Relationship between European corn borer injury, *Fusarium* proliferatum and F. subglutinans infection and moniliformin contamination in maize. Field Crops Research, 183: 69-78.

- Sharafaddin, A.H., Hamad, Y.K., El_Komy, M.H. Ibrahim, Y.E., Widyawan, A., Molan, Y.Y. & Saleh, A.A. 2019. Cell wall degrading enzymes and their impact on *Fusarium proliferatum* pathogenicity. *European Journal of Plant Pathology*, **155**: 871-880.
- Stankovic, S., Levic, J., Petrovic, T., Logrieco, A. & Moretti, A. 2007. Pathogenicity and mycotoxin production by *Fusarium proliferatum* isolated from onion and garlic in Serbia. *European Journal Plant Pathology*, **118**: 165-172.
- Steenkamp, E.T., Wingfield, B.D., Coutinho, T.A., Zeller, K.A., Wingfield, M.J., Marasas, W.F.O. & Leslie, J.F. 2000. PCR-based identification of *MAT-1* and *MAT-2* in the *Gibberella fujikuroi* species complex. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 66: 4378-4382.
- Stêpieñ, L., Waœkiewicz, A. & Urbaniak, M. 2016. Wildly growing asparagus (Asparagus officinalis L.) hosts pathogenic Fusarium species and accumulates their mycotoxins. Microbial Ecology, 71: 927-937.
- Summerell, B.A., Salleh, B. & Leslie, J.F. 2003. A utilitarian approach to *Fusarium* identification. *Plant Disease*, **87**: 117-128.
- Su, H., Zhang, Q., Li, L., Zhao, Y., Zhu, J. & Zhu, M. 2016. Urinary Tract Infection (UTI) caused by *Fusarium proliferatum* in an agranulocytosis patient and a review of published reports. *Mycopathologia*, **181**: 131-135.
- Sun, S., Lui, Q., Han, L., Ma, Q., He, S., Li, X., Zhang, H., Zhang, J., Liu, X. & Wang, L. 2018. Identification and characterization of *Fusarium proliferatum*, a new species of fungi that cause fungal keratitis. *Scientific Reports*, 8: 4859
- Tamura, K., Peterson, D., Peterson, N., Stecher, G., Nei, M. & Kumar, S. 2011. MEGA5: Molecular evolutionary genetics analysis using maximum likelihood, evolutionary distance, and maximum parsimony methods. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 28(10): 2731-2739.
- Wang, W., Wang, B., Sun, X., Qi, X., Zhao, C., Chang, X., Muhammaad Ibrahim, K. & Gong, G. 2021. Symptoms and pathogens diversity of corn *Fusarium* sheath rot in Sichuan Province, China. *Scientific Report*, **11**: 2835.